

Brief Summary of Dialog with Garvin McCurdy since ISSSEEM '08

1/17/09

© Scott Virden Anderson

It seems to have taken me some months to even begin to “get” what Garvin is saying—he writes as a trained physicist and only with repeated exposure have I slowly tuned into some of the key points of contact between us. (The full extent of our somewhat one-sided “dialog” can be found posted in the Documents Section, “Dialog with Garvin McCurdy.”)

Here I want mainly to point out and elaborate briefly upon just two closely related points that have emerged from my dialog with Gavin that I feel are of particular relevance to our discussion here at the Theoretical Frameworks IEG going forward:

- The work of William Tiller is “paradigmatic,” coordinates with Garvin’s, and pertains primarily to the “innermost domain” of my SummaTime Scale.
- Garvin has performed a valuable service in his 2006 paper “A Formalistic Review of Plenal-Biological Transductions”

RE the work of William Tiller PhD, Professor Emeritus, Stanford University

Tiller has now published four books and numerous articles regarding his “psychoenergetic science.” He has made several experimental findings that cannot be accounted for by ordinary physics, has put forward a developing theory (in the language of physics) to account for his findings, and now has several other scientists collaborating with him. A brief current summary has been posted on his website (<http://www.tillerfoundation.com>) in the Papers section as “an invaluable and easy to follow explanation of Dr. Tiller's current work” at the top of the page.

When asked, “might we begin to draw a “scientific definition of subtle energies” from Tiller’s “new paradigm?” Garvin replied, “I don’t think so—I think his work is more in the causal area.” Here Garvin is using the Yogic term “causal” which refers to a domain that is deep even to the “subtle” domain. On the SummaTime Scale, the “causal” relates to the “innermost” domain which spans from the period of the most energetic photon (gamma rays) some twenty orders of magnitude down to the Planck time. This suggests that exactly *how* Tiller’s model will be found to relate to the *lower* frequency phenomena associated with electro-magnetism—i.e. photons, perhaps especially “bio-photons”—which we hypothesize are *more* associated with the “subtle” domain, will continue as an important focus of consideration for the physicists and mathematicians among us going forward.

RE: Garvin’s “Formalistic Review” paper

(The paper can be found on Garvin’s site <http://homepage.mac.com/infohand/5ScienceDownloads.html#> on the “science download” page as “A Plenal-BioReview” - NOTE, if you have trouble with the download in Firefox, try Explorer.) The paper consists of a detailed analysis of how his and Tiller’s work relate to the work of Newton, Maxwell-Hertz, Maxwell-Waser/van Vlaenderen, Nimitz/Ignatiev, Relativity,

and QM. To give just a taste of this “feature analysis” of key formalisms that have been developed in physics to date, here is “Table 1” from that paper. (Note: Garvin here makes use of the famous story of the blind men trying to describe an elephant.)

Table 1: Formalism-Framed Aspects of the Elephant of Reality

The Blind People (Formalisms)		The Elephant of Reality											
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Newtonian	1	X		X									
Maxwell-Hertzian	2		X	X									
Maxwell-Wasser-vanV.	3		X	X			X						
Nimtz/ Ignatiev	4		X	??!			X	X	X				
Relativistic	5	X			X								
Quantum Mechanical	6	X		X	X		X		X				
Tillerian	7	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Transductive Chain	8	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Notes:

1. Simultaneous action-at-a-distance is assumed in Newtonian gravitation.
2. Charged particle oscillations are taken to be a boundary condition.
3. This formalism refers to Nimtz’ observed superluminality as justification and *predicts* simultaneity in the form of infinite velocity and info-entanglement as ‘power flow’
4. Particulate boundary conditions are assumed before the evanescence.
5. Shows experimental evidence of arrowed time breakdown in chronological or der changes.
6. Velocity determined by information coherence time.
7. Zero time information transfer occurs at expense of destruction of subject partide.
8. Time treatment done as 4th vector of a 4-tensor space-time. is essential to coherent formalistic description.
9. Simultaneity seems to be assumed in D <==> R- regime exchange.
10. Tiller draws a strong distinction between info entanglement in q.m. and in the dual reference frame formalism. Here the fact of info entanglement itself is simply noted.
11. Conditioned space is recognized from Reiki Masters’ attunement preparation.

As we go forward, I think we will want to aim to develop—even if only as a mere beginning—an understanding of proposed “models” and “theoretical frameworks” for subtle energies and energy medicine that reaches at least to this level of analysis.

Thanks Garvin!